HE 112,2

88

Received 15 November 2010 Revised 8 June 2011 Accepted 20 June 2011

Is school participation good for children? Associations with health and wellbeing

Aingeal de Róiste, Colette Kelly, Michal Molcho, Aoife Gavin and Saoirse Nic Gabhainn

Health Promotion Research Centre, National University of Ireland Galway, Galway, Ireland

Abstract

Purpose – There is increasing recognition of children's abilities to speak for themselves. School democracy, as demonstrated by genuine participation, has the potential to benefit both teachers and students; leading to better relationships and improved learning experiences. The aim of this study is to investigate whether participation in schools in Ireland is linked with perceived academic performance, liking school and positive health perceptions.

Design/methodology/approach – Data were collected via self-completion questionnaires from a stratified random sample of 10,334 students aged 10-17 years in Irish schools. The questions included encouragement to express their views in class, participation in the organisation of school events; taking part in making school rules; liking school, perceived academic performance, self-rated health, life satisfaction and self-reported happiness. Associations between school participation and other measures were expressed by odds ratios from logistic regression models, conducted separately for girls and boys.

Findings – More than 63 per cent of participating students reported that they were encouraged to express their views in class, 58 per cent that they were involved in organising school events and 22 per cent that they had been involved in making school rules. All forms of participation were lower among older students. Participation in school was significantly associated with liking school and higher perceived academic performance, better self-rated health, higher life satisfaction and greater reported happiness.

Research limitations/implications – These data are all cross-sectional and relationships cannot imply causality.

Practical implications – These findings underscore the relevance of school participation for students in Ireland.

Originality/value – The paper illustrates that, in general, positive relationships between school participation and health and wellbeing are demonstrated among Irish children.

Keywords Schools, Participation, Health promoting schools, Health, Wellbeing, Children (age groups), Young people, Ireland

Paper type Research paper

Introduction

With the growth in the recognition of children's rights there is an increased acknowledgement of children's abilities to speak for themselves. This can be partly attributed to the ratification of the Convention on the Rights of the Child (United Nations, 1989). Adults are now obliged to ensure that children are enabled and encouraged to contribute their views on all matters relevant to them (Lansdown, 2001) but also to ensure that their views have appropriate influence and impact (Leitch and



Health Education Vol. 112 No. 2, 2012 pp. 88-104 © Emerald Group Publishing Limited 0965-4283 DOI 10.1108/09654281211203394

Is participation

Mitchell, 2007). The Irish National Children's Strategy has acknowledged children's capacity to take up opportunity to participate and has put forward three national goals: children will have a voice; children's lives will be better understood; and children will receive quality supports and services (Department of Health and Children, 2000). Coherent with this, the Irish Education Act (1998) recommends that Boards of Management of schools should establish procedures whereby students are facilitated to be involved in the operation of the school (Government of Ireland, 1998).

In general, the education system has great potential for listening to students' voices in relation to many aspects of the school system (Lansdown, 2001). Efforts to foster student engagement and voice are evident in school reform changes in Australia (Levin, 2000), the UK (Fielding, 2001) and the US (Mitra, 2004). In England and Wales, there is now a legal obligation on schools to consider children's views (Children's Rights Alliance for England, 2008). In Ireland it has been acknowledged that students have a valuable contribution to make regarding school effectiveness and improvement (Department of Education and Science, 2002) resulting in the recommendation of establishment of post-primary student councils (Irish Education Act, Government of Ireland, 1998), and the provision of recommendations as to how this should be achieved (Department of Education and Science, 2002). Keogh and Whyte (2005) outlined the hypothesised positive impacts of such a development; including greater school effectiveness in meeting student needs, better academic achievement and more positive in-school relationships. The "pupil voice" approach, nested within the broader principle of pupil participation, entails listening and responding to what pupils say about their experiences as learners which can lead to positive developments in the classroom (Flutter, 2007) and positively impacts on attainment levels (Hannam, 2001) and satisfaction with school (Samdal et al., 1998; Voelkl, 1995).

However, it has been asserted that pupils' voices have not been given the same prominence and influence as that afforded to adults' voices. In research carried out on behalf of the Northern Ireland Commissioner for Children and Young People (NICCY), young people were asked to identify things which they felt were unfair about school. The main issue to surface, directly or indirectly, was the fact that they did not have a say in many of the issues that affected them at school. One student participant explains: "pupils don't have a say in school. Teacher's opinions always come first" (Kilkelly *et al.*, 2004, p. 186). Children's views were not listened to, or worse, they were afforded only "minimalist, tokenistic opportunities to participate and engage with adults" (Kilkelly *et al.*, 2004, p. xxi). These findings are echoed in research by Morrow (1999) who observed that it was the lack of "autonomy and inclusion in decision-making, often with regard to mundane, everyday issues" and "the problem of being accorded little dignity or respect" that concerned children (Morrow, 1999, p. 166).

Pupil participation in school is a term used to "embrace strategies that offer pupils opportunities for active involvement in decision-making within their schools" (Flutter, 2007, p. 344). Moreover, providing appropriate opportunities for the students to participate genuinely in decision-making processes at school is one of the key tasks of a democratic health promoting school (Simovska, 2004; McLellan *et al.*, 1999; Samdal *et al.*, 1998). The health promoting school approach is unique in that it draws attention to this aspect of the psychosocial environment of the school and its effect on health. Although not particularly emphasised in early conceptualisations of health promoting schools, the European Network of Health Promoting Schools recognised the relevance

of the democratic, equity-based approach to promoting school change (ENHPS, 1997). Inherent in that democratic approach is that all educational stakeholders have a legitimate and valuable role to play, including students. This increased democracy in school and demonstrated in genuine participation, benefits both the teachers and the students through better relationships and improved learning experiences (MacBeath *et al.*, 2001; Fielding, 2001; Gray *et al.*, 2006). The level of democratic participation among students and staff is a key factor in producing high levels of both performance and satisfaction in both teachers and pupils (Gray *et al.*, 2006).

There has been discussion about the conceptualisation of school participation, or more specifically student participation, within the context of a health promoting school. Both Inchley *et al.* (2006), Wilson (2009) and Lee *et al.* (2010) include examples of student participation in positive school health promoting change processes. Jensen and Simovska (2005), drawing on Rifkin *et al.* (1988) argue that genuine, non-tokenistic, participation must be active, involve choice and that the choice must be potentially effective. Students can exercise participation in a variety of contexts within schools, most notably by influencing both the content and the process of their learning and through involvement in formal school governance processes (Jensen and Simovska, 2005). Nevertheless evidence that such participation, or any participation, is related to student outcomes is still a requirement for an evidence-based approach.

The interplay between student's health and school perceptions is multifaceted, with no single theory or holistic approach guiding research in this area. The framework used in the health behaviour in school-aged children (HBSC) study incorporates a number of theories and concepts that together address the complexity of the interplay between the different social systems and student's health and wellbeing. The theory of organised participation and the theory of empowerment, both address student participation as a concept for investigating the school's impact on student's health and wellbeing (Griebler *et al.*, 2010). While these theories were tested in some countries, such work is undocumented in Ireland to date. Our paper aims to address this gap in knowledge in Ireland.

Perceptions of the level of student involvement when regulations are being developed have been found to be strongly related to reported school satisfaction. Satisfaction with school can be considered as a construct that contributes to life satisfaction and is indicated by happiness, enjoyment of school, a sense of wellbeing at school, and quality of life among young people (Argyle and Martin, 1991; Millstein et al., 1993). In addition it has been argued that lack of student influence may result in a negative perception of, and reduced satisfaction with school, which increases the likelihood of withdrawing from school (Samdal et al., 1998). Basstistich and Hom (1997) studied the relationship between students' perceptions of various aspects of the psychosocial school environment and their perceptions of their own academic achievement. The findings suggest that satisfaction with school may be an important predictor of the students' academic achievement. Good academic achievement is associated with high satisfaction with school, which sequentially contributes to the students' motivation and continued good performance (Samdal et al., 1999). Similarly, Voelkl (1995) has argued that a warm and supportive climate in school should encourage students to participate and become academically involved, leading to higher academic achievement; this demonstrates the importance of participation as a precursor influence on academic achievement.

While there is some evidence that participation in school is associated with improved school engagement, it is less clear that such participation is linked to health and wellbeing. Evidence of such a link could provide important support for the concept of health promoting schools (e.g. Jensen and Simovska, 2002). In addition, it would provide further impetus for both educators and health promoters to address and support the participation agenda. Some research evidence links participation and risk behaviour. Using data from the HBSC study in Finland and Norway, Samdal et al. (2000) found low-level autonomy to be the most important school environment predictors of students' smoking and alcohol use. Basstistich and Hom (1997) found that student autonomy and decision making, when included in the concept of the students' perception of the school as a community, was associated with fewer problem behaviours like drug use and delinquency. Murphey et al. (2004) included representation in school decision-making in their scale of youth assets and demonstrated reduced odds of engaging in a range of risk behaviours, and increased odds of exercise and safety behaviours associated with such decision making among high school students in Vermont. Somewhat on the contrary, Konu et al. (2002) reported that being encouraged to express views in school was related to general subjective wellbeing for girls but not boys. The extent to which participation is associated with positive health and wellbeing outcomes remains of interest.

The need for participation is not necessarily similar across different ages and grades. As students grow older, their needs for independence and autonomy may become more important. Lack of influence on school affairs may, therefore, lead to an increased chance of school misbehaviour and a decrease in basic motivation (Midgley and Feldlaufer, 1987). Research in the US education setting showed how environmental changes, often associated with the transition from elementary to junior high school, can seem to be especially harmful; decision making and choice decreases at a time when the desire for autonomy and self determination is increasing (Eccles *et al.*, 1993; Midgley and Feldlaufer, 1987).

While it is noteworthy that an inverse relationship has been documented between school size and participation both in urban and rural areas and in particular, among lower socio-economic class students (Lindsay, 1982), research has yet to address a number of key issues about student participation in schools. Such gaps include gender and age differences in the outcomes associated with school participation and potential variations related to other aspects of school context.

The aims of this study are to document and explore the reported level of school participation among children in schools in Ireland, and the relationships between school participation and perceived academic performance, other school perceptions and health related outcomes. Some schools in Ireland adhere to the health promoting school concept, whereas others do not but still recognise the need and importance of actively including their pupils in school life. All schools teach social, personal and health education, as part of the curriculum to junior cycle level. Social, personal and health education, supports the personal development, health and wellbeing of young people and helps them create and maintain supportive relationships. While Ireland is part of the Schools for Health in Europe network (www.schoolsforhealth.eu), information relating to the implementation of the health promoting school approach is not available for schools involved in HBSC 2006 in Ireland. Nevertheless, the Irish HBSC survey provides an opportunity to explore school participation among a large

representative sample of children from primary and post-primary schools, enabling investigation of gender and age patterns in participation that would not be possible in smaller, more in-depth studies. This data can inform the work of the Irish National Children's Strategy (Department of Health and Children, 2000) relating to participation of children in the education system.

Participation is a complex term and models or frameworks have been proposed for discussion among stakeholders in planning, implementation, evaluation and managing projects involving student participation (Jensen and Simovska, 2005). The HBSC survey can only capture some of the dimensions of school participation in use in Ireland and this snapshot of school participation may provide the impetus to explore school participation in Ireland in more depth, and where applicable, in conjunction with the health promoting school approach. The measures of health and wellbeing used in this paper include self-rated happiness, a national indicator of child wellbeing in Ireland (Brooks *et al.*, 2010); self-rated health, a well-established proxy for objectively measured health status (Torsheim *et al.*, 2004) that relates to the young persons' perspective or evaluation of their own health; and life satisfaction, a global assessment of life that captures more than the "health oriented" satisfaction with life (Cantril, 1965).

Methods

Sample

This study utilised data from the 2006 Irish HBSC study, a part of the World Health Organisation international collaborative study. The aim of the HBSC study is to improve the understanding of predictors of young people's health behaviours, lifestyle and perceptions of health. The survey was carried out in Ireland between April and June 2006.

In Ireland, for all children aged between 6 and 16, attendance at full-time education is mandatory. Children from aged 4 to 12 attend primary school and those aged from 12 to 18 attend post-primary school. Schools are largely State funded and follow the same state prescribed curriculum and in post-primary schools, the same state public examinations (www.education.ie). In Ireland, unlike many other European countries, a large proportion of post-primary schools are single sex schools (Department of Education and Science, 2005). In the HBSC 2006 sample, 15 per cent of primary and 32 per cent of post-primary schools were single sex schools.

A nationally representative sample of schools (stratified by geographical region) was randomly selected, and individual classrooms within these schools were subsequently randomly selected for inclusion. The data were collected from 10,334 10-17 years old students in 215 schools, by using a self-completion questionnaire and a procedure that ensured anonymity and confidentially. The response rate in this study was 83 per cent of schoolchildren; of the 13 per cent non-response rate, the majority was children who were not in attendance on the day the survey was carried out. Ethical approval for this study was granted by the National University of Ireland, Galway Research Ethics Committee.

Measurement

School participation. The Irish HBSC questionnaire consisted of questions primarily about health, social relationships, and experiences in school. Students were asked how much they agree or disagree with the following statements: "in our school students

children?

Is participation

take part in making the rules", "I am encouraged to express my own views in my class(es)", and "students get involved in organising school events". Response categories were dichotomised at "strongly agree" and "agree" vs "neither/nor", "disagree" and "strongly disagree". These three items were taken to represent three different components of school participation and were treated independently.

School perception. Liking school was measured by the item "how do you feel about school at present?" The possible responses were dichotomised at "I like it a lot" and "I like it a bit" vs "I don't like it very much" and "I don't like it at all".

Perceived academic performance. Perceived academic performance was measured by: "in your opinion, what does your teacher(s) think about your school performance compared to your classmates? The possible responses were dichotomised at "very good" and "good" vs "average" and "below average".

Health and wellbeing. Students' self-rated health was measured by the question: "Would you say your health is [...]?" The variable had four response options that were dichotomised at "excellent" and "good" vs "fair" and "poor". Students' life satisfaction was measured by ticking the number that best describes where on the ladder they stand at that point in time (the top of the ladder "10" is described as the best possible life and the bottom "0" as the worst). High life satisfaction was defined as a score greater than 6. Students' happiness level was measured by the question: "In general how do you feel about your life at present?" The response options were dichotomised at "I feel very happy" and "I feel quite happy" vs "I don't feel very happy" and "I'm not happy at all".

Statistical analysis. Associations between school participation and the various outcome measures were expressed in odds ratios from logistic regression models in SPSS, version 15.0. All analyses were conducted independently for girls and boys. Each row in the tables below represents a separate logistic regression model.

Results

A large proportion of the students reported some level of school participation, with some gender and age variations (see Table I). Levels of reported participation were lower among older children, and girls were more likely than boys to report that they took part in organising school events and were encouraged to express their views in class.

Participation in making school rules

Less than a quarter of Irish students reported that they participate in making the rules in their school (23 per cent). The percentage of children who reported participating in making school rules was lower among older children of both genders. Participating in rule making was positively associated with reporting positive school perceptions, positive academic achievement, positive self-reported health and happiness across both genders and all age groups. High life satisfaction was associated with participating in making rules among girls only (Table II). However participation in making the school rules was more strongly related to outcomes for girls and younger children than for boys and older children.

Participation in organising school events

Participation in organising school events was reported by 58 per cent of the students, and was more commonly reported by younger students. Girls were more likely to

HE								
112,2		Age group	%	All n	Ве %	oys n	%	Girls n
94	Participating in making school rules	All 10-11 years 12-14 years 15-17 years **	22.5 38.7 24.1 15.0	2,300 522 1,156 585	21.9 33.2 24.6 15.9	1,141 184 614 327	23.1 42.5 23.6 14.0	1,154 337 542 257
94	Encouraged to express own views	All 10-11 years 12-14 years 15-17 years	62.8 75.8 63.0 58.3	6,364 1,000 2,996 2,271	60.5 73.5 61.0 56.9	3,134 400 1,511 1,173	65.1 77.3 65.2 60.0	3,222* 599 1,484 1,096
	Involved in organising school events	All 10-11 years 12-14 years 15-17 years **	57.6 69.4 57.9 53.6	5,842 923 2,746 2,087	54.0 68.4 55.9 48.3	2,794 377 1,380 996	61.3 70.1 60.0 59.5	3,039* 545 1365 1089
	Positive self rated health	All 10-11 years 12-14 years 15-17 years**	87.2 94.6 88.8 82.8	8,953 1,293 4,277 3,232	88.7 95.2 89.5 86.2	4,649 532 2,250 1,782	85.3 94.2 88.1 78.9	4,293 * 760 2026 1447
	Feeling happy	All 10-11 years 12-14 years 15-17 years **	90.8 95.4 91.5 88.5	9,295 1,296 4,396 3,455	92.4 95.0 92.6 91.7	4,831 528 2,324 1,893	89.1 95.6 90.4 85.0	4,453* 767 2071 1559
	High life satisfaction	All 10-11 years 12-14 years 15-17 years **	76.6 87.0 79.6 69.8	7,805 1,169 3,813 2,708	78.7 86.9 81.1 74.2	4,092 478 2,026 1,525	74.4 87.0 78.0 64.8	3,704* 690 1786 1181
	Perceived academic achievement	All 10-11 years 12-14 years 15-17 years **	71.3 81.4 73.4 65.5	7,280 1,097 3,515 2,554	66.3 77.3 68.5 60.3	3,463 435 1,713 1,259	76.5 83.7 78.7 70.6	3,808* 661 1801 1293
Table I. Frequency distribution of school participation, school perceptions and	Positive school perception	All 10-11 years 12-14 years 15-17 years**	67.8 76.2 70.8 61.6	6,979 1,040 3,417 2,415	62.4 66.9 65.7 56.6	3,281 374 1,665 1,183	73.5 82.6 76.1 66.7	3,690* 665 1,751 1,229
wellbeing, by age and gender	Notes: *Statistically significant diffe **statistically significant differences i	rences in particip n participation a	oation cross a	betweer ige grou	boys ps (all	and girl $p < 0.0$	s (all p 01)	< 0.001);

report being involved in organising events than boys (61 and 54 per cent respectively). Participation in organising school events was associated with positive school perceptions, health and wellbeing across all age groups and in both genders (Table III).

Participation in expressing views in class

Just over 60 percent of the total sample of students reported being encouraged to express views in class (63 per cent). The percentage of children reporting that there are being encouraged to express their views was higher among younger children of both genders. Girls were more likely to report being encouraged to express views in class compared to boys (65 and 61 per cent respectively). Being encouraged to express views

		Boys	SA			Girls		
	All	10-11 years	10-11 years 12-14 years	15-17 years	All	10-11 years	12-14 years	15-17 years
Self-rated health	1.34 *** (1.16-1.54)	1.41 (0.97-2.04)	1.42 *** (1.17-1.72)	1.16 (0.89-1.5)	1.48*** (1.28-1.72)	$^{***} (1.16-1.54) 1.41 (0.97-2.04) 1.42 \ ^{***} (1.17-1.72) 1.16 (0.89-1.5) 1.48 \ ^{***} (1.28-1.72) 1.56 \ ^{**} (1.15-2.10) 1.32 \ ^{**} (1.07-1.63) 1.04 (0.74-1.46) 1.32 \ ^{**} (1.07-1.63) 1.04 (0.74-1.46) 1.32 \ ^{**} (1.07-1.63) 1.04 (0.74-1.46) 1.32 \ ^{**} (1.07-1.63) 1.04 (0.74-1.46) 1.32 \ ^{**} (1.07-1.63) 1.04 (0.74-1.46) 1.32 \ ^{**} (1.07-1.63) 1.04 (0.74-1.46) 1.32 \ ^{**} (1.07-1.63) 1.32 \ $	1.32** (1.07-1.63)	1.04 (0.74-1.46)
Happiness	1.59****(1.30-1.72)	1.70*(1.13-2.55)	1.39** (1.15-1.69)	1.30*(1.01-1.67)	$*** (1.30 - 1.70 * (1.13 - 2.55) \\ 1.39 ** (1.15 - 1.69) \\ 1.30 ** (1.01 - 1.67) \\ 1.52 *** (1.32 - 1.75)$	1.26 (0.92-1.72)	$1.26 \ (0.92 \text{-}1.72) 1.56^{***} \ (1.27 \text{-}1.91) 0.88 \ (0.66 \text{-}1.18)$	0.88 (0.66-1.18)
Life satisfaction	1.13 (0.95-1.36)	1.13 (0.95-1.36) 1.21 (0.69-2.13)		0.97 (0.72-1.30)	$1.69^{***} (1.42-2.01)$	$1.05 \ (0.81 \cdot 1.35) 0.97 \ (0.72 \cdot 1.30) 1.69^{***} \ (1.42 \cdot 2.01) \\ 1.68^{**} \ (1.05 \cdot 2.68) 1.55^{***} \ (1.19 \cdot 2.02) 1.25 \ (0.92 \cdot 1.68) 1$	1.55****(1.19-2.02)	1.25 (0.92-1.68)
Liking school	$1.53^{***} (1.30-1.80)$	1.29 (0.83-2.02)	1.60^{***} (1.29-1.99)	1.44*(1.05-1.98)	1.55****(1.341.80)	$^{***}(1.30-1.80) 1.29 \; (0.83-2.02) 1.60 \; ^{***}(1.29-1.99) 1.44 \; (1.05-1.98) 1.55 \; ^{***}(1.34-1.80) 1.94 \; ^{***}(1.41-2.66) 1.35 \; ^{**}(1.10-1.66) 1.33 \; (0.98-1.81)$	1.35**(1.10-1.66)	1.33 (0.98-1.81)
Perceived academic performance	1.37 *** (1.17-1.60)	7 *** (1.17-1.60) 1.23 (0.83-1.83)	1.20 (0.97-1.50)	1.47* (1.09-1.98)	1.67*** (1.45-1.95)	$1.20\ (0.97\cdot150) 1.47^{*}\ (1.09\cdot1.98) 1.67^{***}\ (1.45\cdot1.95) 1.61^{**}\ (1.20\cdot2.17) 1.65^{***}\ (1.35\cdot2.03) 1.15\ (0.85\cdot1.56)$	1.65 *** (1.35-2.03)	1.15 (0.85-1.56)
Notes: $^*p < 0.05;$ *	Notes: $^*p < 0.05; \ ^*p < 0.01; \ ^{**}p < 0.001$	01						

Table II.
Relative odds of self-rated health and wellbeing and school outcomes associated with participation in making school rules

Table III.
Relative odds of self-rated
health and wellbeing and
school outcomes
associated with
organising school events

		Bc	Bovs			9	Girls	
	All	10-11 years	10-11 years 12-14 years	15-17 years	All	10-11 years	10-11 years 12-14 years	15-17 years
Self-rated health	Self-rated health 1.39***(1.230-1.57) 1.26 (0.85-1.86) 1.45***(1.22-1.73) 1.32**(1.09-1.59) 1.63***(1.42-1.86) 1.29 (0.93-1.86) 1.63***(1.34-1.97) 1.70****(1.32-2.19)	1.26 (0.85-1.86)	1.45 *** (1.22-1.73)	1.32**(1.09-1.59)	1.63 *** (1.42-1.86)	1.29 (0.93-1.86)	1.63 *** (1.34-1.97)	$1.70^{***} (1.32-2.19)$
Happiness	$1.63^{***} (1.45-1.83)$	1.65*(1.11-2.45)	1.57***(1.32-1.85)	$ \left(1.45 - 1.83\right) 1.65 \\ ^{*}\left(1.11 - 2.45\right) 1.57 \\ ^{***}\left(1.32 - 1.86\right) \\ ^{***}\left(1.21 - 1.75\right) 1.59 \\ ^{***}\left(1.41 - 1.79\right) \\ ^{**}\left(1.41 - 1.79\right) \\ ^{*}\left(1.96 - 1.9\right) \\ ^{*}\left(1.96 - 1.9\right) \\ ^{*}\left(1.56 - 2.22\right) \\ ^{*}\left(1.26 - 1.54\right) \\ ^{*}\left(1.96 - 1.54\right)$	1.59 *** (1.41-1.79)	1.36 (0.97-1.9)	1.86^{***} (1.56-2.22)	1.26*(1.02-1.54)
Life satisfaction	$1.34^{***} (1.16-1.55)$	1.87*(1.1-3.18)	1.2 (0.96-1.49)	$ (1.16-1.55) 1.87 * (1.1-3.18) \qquad 1.2 \; (0.96-1.49) \qquad 1.26 * (1.02-1.56) 1.44 ** * (1.26-1.64) 1.17 \; (0.72-1.88) 1.6 ** * (1.30-1.97) 1.28 * (1.04-1.56) $	1.44 *** (1.26-1.64)	1.17 (0.72-1.88)	1.6 *** (1.30-1.97)	1.28*(1.04-1.56)
Liking school	$1.68^{***} (1.44-1.96)$	1.31 (0.81-2.13)	$1.52^{***} (1.23-1.87)$	$(1.44\cdot1.96) 1.31 \ (0.81\cdot2.13) 1.52^{***} \ (1.23\cdot1.87) 1.96^{***} \ (1.52\cdot2.56) 1.66^{***} \ (1.45\cdot1.91) 1.53^{*} \ (1.08\cdot2.17) 1.54^{***} \ (1.23\cdot1.86) 1.96^{***} \ (1.53\cdot2.5) 1.96$	1.66 *** (1.45-1.91)	1.53*(1.08-2.17)	1.54^{***} (1.23-1.86)	1.96^{***} (1.53-2.5)
Perceived academic performance	1.18* (1.03-1.36)	(1.03-1.36) 1.46 (0.96-2.24)	1.06 (0.87-1.29)	1.12 (0.89-1.42)	1.12 (0.89-1.42) 1.38 *** (1.22-1.57) 1.63 (1.17-2.25)	1.63 (1.17-2.25)	1.33 (1.11-1.60)	1.21 (0.97-1.51)
Notes: $^*p < 0.05$; $^**p < 0.01$;	** $p < 0.01$; *** $p < 0.001$	1,001						

Is participation

in class was positively associated with positive school perceptions and positive health and wellbeing across age groups and in both genders (Table IV).

Discussion

While the importance of participation in schools is now becoming more recognised as a key element of the psychosocial environment of the school, there is a relative dearth of literature on the impact of participation in school on the health and wellbeing of the students involved. Findings from this study indicate that the levels of school participation among school children in Ireland range from substantial to inadequate. Our findings also show that school participation is associated with reporting positive general school perceptions, positive health and positive wellbeing.

Chassin *et al.* (1989) noted that the need for involvement in decision-making increases as children get older. Yet, our data suggest that young children are more likely to report participation compared to older students. We found that older students are less likely to report participation in school, irrespective of gender or type of participation. This result suggests that both educators and health professionals need to better address the issue of participation in post-primary schools, among older students. We have also identified important gender differences, particularly in relation to class participation and organisation of school events, some of which may be related to the residual practice of single sex education in post-primary schools, in the Republic of Ireland.

Participation in making school rules was reported by just one-fifth of the Irish students and was lower among the older students. It appears that opportunities to input on matters related to discipline and school rules are limited for Irish students, even though their buy-in is essential if schools are to succeed in creating an environment that is conducive to learning (Noguera, 2007). The findings in this study have shown that the relationship between participating in rule making and liking school is strong; if students can participate in influencing or opposing school rules, they are more likely to accept them and consequentially develop a positive attitude towards school (Samdal et al., 1999; Samdal et al., 1998; Coleman and Collinge, 1991; Finn, 1989). Liking school has also been found to be a powerful correlate of health behaviours and health perceptions (Samdal et al., 1998) and contributes to global life satisfaction and quality of life of young people. Studies have suggested that improving students' school perception may consequently prevent orientation away from school (Voelkl, 1995; Schults et al., 1987; Rudd and Walsh, 1993; Epstein, 1981) and improve their health related lifestyle choices and opportunities (Samdal et al., 1998; Resnick et al., 1997). Although Konu et al. (2002) failed to find that school participation was consistently associated with pupils' general wellbeing, other studies, including this one, do provide an argument to the contrary.

Improved academic achievement can be achieved through the provision of healthy and democratic schools (Flutter, 2006), ultimately leading to a more effective education (Lansdown, 2001, p. 21). This study shows strong associations between participating in making school rules and perceived academic achievement. Perception of under-achievement in school has been associated with adolescent drug use (Robins, 1980), and has an immediate effect on self-esteem and general wellbeing (Hodge *et al.*, 1990). Being encouraged to express views in class is strongly associated with positive perception of academic achievement. Encouraging students to express their views may

Table IV.
Relative odds of self-rated
health and wellbeing and
school outcomes
associated with
encouragement to
express views in class

		Bo	Bovs			Girls	SI.	
	All	10-11 years	10-11 years 12-14 years	15-17 years	All	10-11 years	12-14 years	15-17 years
Self-rated health	1.68 *** (1.48-1.9)	2.28*** (1.49-3.4)	$1.68^{***} (1.48-1.9) 2.28^{***} (1.49-3.4) 1.80^{***} (1.51-2.16) 1.44^{***} (1.19-1.75) 1.92^{***} (1.66-2.21) 2.44^{***} (1.65-3.58) 1.73^{***} (1.42-2.11) 1.63^{***} (1.30-2.16) 1.83^{***} (1.30-2.16$	1.44 *** (1.19-1.75)	1.92 *** (1.66-2.21)	2.44 *** (1.66-3.58)	1.73*** (1.42-2.11)	1.63 *** (1.30-2.16)
Happiness		2.72 *** (1.82-4.07)	$2.04^{***}(1.81-2.30) 2.72^{***}(1.82-4.07) 2.29^{***}(1.92-2.72) 1.52^{***}(1.27-1.84) 2.27^{***}(2.00-2.57) 1.87^{***}(1.32-2.66) 2.44^{***}(2.03-2.93) 1.92^{***}(1.55-2.38) 1.92^{**}(1.55-2.38) 1.92^{*$	$1.52^{***}(1.27-1.84)$	2.27 * * * (2.00-2.57)	$1.87^{***}(1.32-2.66)$	2.44 * * * (2.03-2.93)	1.92 *** (1.55-2.38)
Life satisfaction		2.48** (1.45-4.23)	$1.75^{***} (1.52\cdot201) 2.48^{**} (1.45\cdot4.23) 1.83^{***} (1.48\cdot2.27) 1.47^{***} (1.20\cdot1.81) 1.45^{***} (1.70\cdot2.23) 3.85^{***} (2.48\cdot5.99) 1.69^{***} (1.37\cdot2.09) 1.68^{***} (1.37\cdot2.09$	1.47*** (1.20-1.81)	1.45 *** (1.70-2.23)	3.85 *** (2.48-5.99)	1.69*** (1.37-2.09)	1.68 *** (1.37-2.06)
Liking school	2.55 *** (2.15-3.02)	5.70*** (2.7-12.06)	$-3.02) 5.70^{***} (2.7-12.06) 2.40^{***} (1.91-3.02) 2.30^{***} (1.73-3.06) 2.43^{***} (2.10-2.84) 2.99^{***} (1.94-4.60) 2.18^{***} (1.77-2.68) 2.53^{***} (1.95-2.27) 2.21 (1.94-4.60) 2.18^{***} (1.77-2.68) 2.53^{***} (1.95-2.27) 2.21 (1.94-4.60) 2.18^{***} (1.77-2.68) 2.53^{***} (1.95-2.27) 2.21 (1.94-4.60) 2.18^{***} (1.94-4.60) 2.1$	2.30*** (1.73-3.06)	2.43 *** (2.10-2.84)	2.99*** (1.94-4.60)	2.18*** (1.77-2.68)	2.53 *** (1.95-2.27)
Perceived academic performance	Perceived academic a	1.92** (1.21-3.05)	1.80 *** (1.46-2.21)	1.81 *** (1.42-2.31)	2.37 *** (2.06-2.73)	1.84** (1.29-2.63)	2.74 *** (2.23-3.37)	1.90 *** (1.5-2.41)
Notes: $^*p <$	Notes: $^*p < 0.05$; $^**_p < 0.01$; $^***_p < 0.001$	$^*p < 0.001$						

Is participation

not be a measure of participation on its own, but could indicate a class environment that facilitates participation.

There is a dearth of research that looks at involvement of students in organising school events and the resulting impact. It has been suggested that extracurricular and social engagement may be the main source of attachment to school for students whose academic achievement is low, or among high-risk students (Mahoney, 2000; Finn, 1989). Institutional encouragement may act as an important factor in maintaining this type of participation, and participating in organising school events could be seen as a type of social engagement. Well over half of the students reported that they were involved in organising school events; our results show that involvement in organising school events was associated with positive health outcomes and positive school perceptions. Those in the 10-11 year old age group were more likely to report being involved in organising events compared to the older children in the study, although for them, the associations with the outcome variables were not as strong as among the older children. It could be deduced that the desire or need to be involved is not as strong among the younger children, as was previously suggested (see Eccles *et al.*, 1993). Conversely, the need is stronger among the older age group; yet they appear to have fewest opportunities.

Interpersonal relationships, particularly with teachers and other adults, are extremely important in facilitating student participation in school learning (Simovska, 2004). Teachers that encourage students to have a voice in class facilitate an environment that is conducive to learning and enhances students' competencies (Ruddock and Flutter, 2000; Roche, 1999). The findings in this study show that the majority of students report that they are encouraged to express their views, although again there are age differences. The findings suggest that as students move from primary to post-primary schools, they have fewer opportunities to express themselves. Being encouraged to voice opinions in class has a strong relationship with liking school, which in turn has been identified as a protective factor against risky behaviours such as sexual risk taking and substance use (Rasmussen *et al.*, 2005; Maes and Lievens, 2003; Samdal *et al.*, 2000; Dias *et al.*, 2005).

The results of this study contribute to the discourse on student participation in schools, especially as schools face escalating pressure to focus on academic success (e.g. Boorah et al., 2010). This study is an important addition to understanding the rate of students' participation in schools, recognising that the findings may be specific to an Irish context. Indeed this work could be useful for stakeholders in Ireland who are keen to progress to a more democratic, participatory model in Irish schools. Students are seen as the "expert witnesses" in the process of school improvement and their views of the school environment include elements that teachers and policy makers have some power to change (Ruddock and Flutter, 2000, p. 81). Further work in Ireland in this regard is necessary.

Increasing the bond with schools by providing students with opportunities to actively participate in their school promotes positive health outcomes and positive school perceptions. These outcomes are important in enhancing academic success as they act as aids, supports or assets for learning. Further research is needed to determine whether school participation is also related to positive, health promoting behaviour and also to explore more the characteristics of the students who report that they do not participate. Those not participating could be students who are not given the opportunities, or students who have opportunities but have not exploited them. It is quite possible that the health outcomes in these groups would differ.

HE 112,2

100

Limitations

It is important to note that this study is cross-sectional in design and thus causal interpretations are not appropriate. All data reported here are based on single-item self-reports from children. Nevertheless it is important to recognise that students' perceptions are valid in their own right and indeed, as been previously highlighted they are an imperative; "head teachers and their governors may labour under the delusion that they have in place cultures and processes conducive to listening to students" but the actual "student experience may, in effect be radically different" (Leitch and Mitchell, 2007, p. 68).

The measures of school participation included here are those collected via the HBSC survey and it is recognised that school participation encompasses more than what can be measured through HBSC Ireland. Other questions and different methodologies could be used in schools in Ireland to capture school participation more holistically and indeed to explore the contribution of school participation to Irish Health Promoting Schools. The large and representative sample included in this survey and the design employed give credence to using these three items to capture a picture of school participation among children in Ireland.

Conclusions

This study illustrates that school participation among children in Ireland range from substantial to inadequate. In general, positive relationships between school participation and health and wellbeing were demonstrated among Irish children. The need for developing and implementing strategies to provide more opportunities to participate in school is implied. These strategies need to ensure genuine participation (see Alderson, 2000) and in Ireland, a focus on post-primary schools with particular attention given to differences identified across gender.

References

- Alderson, P. (2000), "School students' views on school councils and daily life at school", Children and Society, Vol. 14, pp. 121-34.
- Argyle, M. and Martin, M. (1991), "The psychological causes of happiness", in Strack, F., Argyle, M. and Schwars, N. (Eds), *Subjective Well-being*, Pergamon, Oxford, pp. 77-100.
- Basstistich, V. and Hom, A. (1997), "The relationship between students' sense of their school as a community and their involvement in problem behaviors", *American Journal of Public Health*, Vol. 87, pp. 1997-2001.
- Boorah, V.K., Dineen, D. and Lynch, N. (2010), "Which are the 'best' feeder schools in Ireland? Analysing school performance using student third level data", *Irish Educational Studies*, Vol. 29 No. 2, pp. 107-30.
- Brooks, A.M., Hanafin, S., Cahil, H., Nic Gabhainn, S. and Molcho, M. (2010), *State of the Nations' Children 2010*, Department of Health and Children, Dublin.
- Cantril, H. (1965), *The Pattern of Human Concerns*, Rutgers University Press, New Brunswick, NJ.
- Chassin, L., Presson, C.C. and Shearman, S.J. (1989), "Constructive' vs 'destructive' deviance in adolescent health-related behaviours", *Journal of Youth and Adolescence*, Vol. 18 No. 3, pp. 245-62.
- Children's Rights Alliance for England (2008), available at: www.crae.org.uk (accessed 13 November 2010).

children?

Is participation

Coleman, P. and Collinge, J. (1991), "In the web: internal and external influences affecting school improvement", School Effectiveness and School Improvement, Vol. 2, pp. 262-85.

Department of Education and Science (2002), Student Councils: A Voice for Students, Stationery Office, Dublin.

- Department of Education and Science (2005), "Education trends: key indicators on education in Ireland and Europe", available at: www.education.ie/admin/servlet/blobservlet/des_educ_trends_intro.htm (accessed 1 June 2011).
- Department of Health and Children (2000), *The National Children's Strategy: Our Children Their Lives*, Stationery Office, Dublin.
- Dias, S.F., Matos, M.G. and Goncalves, A.C. (2005), "Preventing HIV transmission in adolescents: an analysis of the Portuguese data from the Health Behaviour School-aged Children study and focus groups", *European Journal of Public Health*, Vol. 15 No. 3, pp. 300-4.
- Eccles, J., Midgley, C., Wigfield, A., Buchanan, C.M., Reuman, D., Flanagan, C. and Mac Iver, D. (1993), "Development during adolescence: the impact of stage-environment fir on young adolescents' experiences in school and in families", *American Psychologist*, Vol. 48 No. 2, pp. 90-101.
- ENHPS (1997), "First conference of the european network of health promoting schools conference report, ENHPS: Thessoloniki, Greece", available at: www.schoolsforhealth.eu/upload/pubs/TheHPSaninvestmentineducationhealthanddemocracy.pdf (accessed 14 November 2010).
- Epstein, J.L. (1981), The Quality of School Life, Lexington Books/D.C. Health, Lexington, MA.
- Fielding, M. (2001), "Beyond the rhetoric of student voice: new departures or constraints in the transformation of 21st century schooling?", *Forum*, Vol. 43 No. 2, pp. 100-9.
- Finn, J.D. (1989), "Withdrawing from school", Review of Educational Research, Vol. 59, pp. 117-42.
- Flutter, J. (2006), "'This place could help you learn': student participation in creating better school environments", *Educational Review*, Vol. 58 No. 2, pp. 183-93.
- Flutter, J. (2007), "Teacher development and pupil voice", *The Curriculum Journal*, Vol. 18 No. 3, pp. 343-54.
- Government of Ireland (1998), Education Act, Stationery Office, Dublin.
- Gray, G., Young, I. and Barnekow, V. (2006), Developing a Health-Promoting School: A Practical Resource for Developing Effective Partnerships in School Health, Based On the Experience of the European Network of Health Promoting Schools, Kailow Graphic.
- Griebler, R., Dür, W., Samdal, O., Freeman, J., Danielsen, A.G., Currie, D., Mager, U. and Rasmussen, M. (2010), "School setting", in Currie, C., Griebler, R., Inchley, J., Theunissen, A., Molcho, M., Samdal, O. and Dür, W. (Eds), *Health Behaviour in School-aged Children (HBSC) Study Protocol: Background, Methodology and Mandatory Items for the 2009/2010 Survey*, CAHRU, Edinburgh/LBIHPR, Vienna, pp. 79-98.
- Hannam, D. (2001), "A pilot study to evaluate the impact of student participation aspects of the citizenship order on standards of education in secondary schools", report to the DfEE, available at: www.kehila.org.il/doc/research/hannamreport.pdf (accessed 14 November 2010).
- Hodge, D.R., Smit, E.K. and Hanson, S.L. (1990), "School experiences predicting changes in self-esteem of sixth- and seventh-grade students", *Journal of Educational Psychology*, Vol. 82, pp. 117-27.
- Inchley, J., Muldoon, J. and Currie, C. (2006), "Becoming a health promoting school: evaluating the process of effective implementation in Scotland", *Health Promotion International*, Vol. 22 No. 1, pp. 65-71.

- Jensen, B.B. and Simovska, V. (Eds) (2002), Models of Health Promoting Schools in Europe, Copenhagen, International Planning Committee, European Network of Health Promoting Schools.
- Jensen, B.B. and Simovska, V. (2005), "Involving students in learning and health promotion processes clarifying why? what? and how?", *Promotion and Education*, Vol. 12, pp. 150-6.
- Keogh, A.F. and Whyte, J. (2005), Second Level School Councils in Ireland: A Study of Enablers, Barriers and Supports, National Children's Office, Dublin.
- Kilkelly, U., Kilpatrick, R., Lundy, L., Moore, L., Scraton, P., Davey, C., Dwyer, C. and McAlister, S. (2004), Children's Rights in Northern Ireland, Northern Ireland Commissioner for Children and Young People, Belfast.
- Konu, A., Lintonen, T. and Rimpela, M. (2002), "Factors associated with schoolchildren's general subjective wellbeing", *Health Education Research: Theory and Practice*, Vol. 17 No. 2, pp. 155-65.
- Lansdown, G. (2001), Promoting Children's Participation in Democratic Decision-Making, UNICEF Innocenti Research Centre, Florence.
- Lee, A., Ho, M. and Keung, V. (2010), "Healthy school as an ecological model for prevention of childhood obesity", *Research in Sports Medicine*, Vol. 18, pp. 49-61.
- Leitch, R. and Mitchell, S. (2007), "Caged birds and cloning machines: how student imagery 'speaks' to us about cultures of schooling and student participation", *Improving Schools*, Vol. 10 No. 1, pp. 53-71.
- Levin, B. (2000), "Putting students at the centre in education reform", *Journal of Educational Change*, Vol. 1, pp. 155-72.
- Lindsay, P. (1982), "The effect of high school size on student participation, satisfaction and attendance", *Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis*, Vol. 4 No. 1, pp. 57-65.
- McLellan, L., Rissel, C., Donnelly, N. and Bauman, A. (1999), "Health behaviour and the school environment in New South Wales, Australia", *Social Science and Medicine*, Vol. 49, pp. 611-9.
- MacBeath, J., Myers, K. and Demetriou, H. (2001), "Supporting teachers in consulting pupils about aspects of teaching and learning, and evaluating impact", *Forum*, Vol. 43 No. 2, pp. 78-82.
- Maes, L. and Lievens, J. (2003), "Can the school make a difference? A multilevel analysis of adolescent risk and health behaviour", *Social Science and Medicine*, Vol. 56 No. 3, pp. 517-29.
- Mahoney, J.L. (2000), "School extracurricular activity participation as a moderator in the development of antisocial patterns", *Child Development*, Vol. 71 No. 2, pp. 502-16.
- Midgley, C. and Feldlaufer, H. (1987), "Students' and teachers' decision-making fit before and after the transition to Junior High School", *Journal of Early Adolescence*, Vol. 7 No. 2, pp. 225-41.
- Millstein, S.G., Petersen, A.C. and Nightingale, E.O. (Eds) (1993), Promoting the Health of Adolescents: New Directions for the Twenty-first Century, Oxford University Press, New York, NY.
- Mitra, D.L. (2004), "The significance of students: can increasing 'student voice' in schools lead to gains in youth development?", *Teachers College Record*, Vol. 106 No. 4, pp. 651-88.
- Morrow, V. (1999), "We are people too': children's and young people's perspectives on children's rights and decision-making in England", *The International Journal of Children's Rights*, Vol. 7, pp. 149-70.

Is participation

Murphey, D.A., Lamonda, K.H., Carney, J.K. and Duncan, P. (2004), "Relationships of a brief measure of youth assets to health promoting and risk behaviours", *Journal of Adolescent Health*, Vol. 34, pp. 184-91.

- Noguera, P.A. (2007), "How listening to students can help schools to improve", *Theory into Practice*, Vol. 46 No. 3, pp. 205-11.
- Rasmussen, M., Damsgaard, M.T., Holstein, B.E., Poulsen, L.H. and Due, P. (2005), "School connectedness and daily smoking among boys and girls: the influence of parental smoking norms", European Journal of Public Health, Vol. 15 No. 6, pp. 607-12.
- Resnick, M.D., Bearman, R.W., Blum, R.W., Bauman, K.E., Harris, K.M., Jones, J., Tabor, J., Beuhring, T., Sieving, R.E., Shew, M., Ireland, M., Bearing, L.H. and Udry, R. (1997), "Protecting adolescents from harm. Findings from the National Longitudinal Study on Adolescent Health", Journal of the American Medical Association, Vol. 278 No. 10, pp. 823-32.
- Rifkin, S.B., Muller, F. and Bichmann, W. (1988), "Primary health care: on measuring participation", *Social Science and Medicine*, Vol. 26 No. 9, pp. 931-40.
- Robins, L.N. (1980), "The natural history of drug abuse", Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica, Vol. 62, Supplement 284, pp. 7-20.
- Roche, J. (1999), "Children: rights, participation and citizenship", Childhood, Vol. 6, pp. 475-93.
- Rudd, R.E. and Walsh, D.C. (1993), "Schools as healthful environments: prerequisite to comprehensive school health?", *Preventive Medicine*, Vol. 22, pp. 499-506.
- Ruddock, J. and Flutter, J. (2000), "Pupil participation and pupil perspective: 'carving a new order of experience", *Cambridge Journal of Education*, Vol. 30 No. 1, pp. 75-89.
- Samdal, O., Wold, B. and Bronis, M. (1999), "Relationship between students' perceptions of school environment, the satisfaction with school and perceived academic achievement: an international study", *School Effectiveness and School Improvement*, Vol. 10 No. 3, pp. 296-320.
- Samdal, O., Nutbeam, D., Wold, B. and Kannas, L. (1998), "Achieving health and educational goals through school a study of the importance of school climate and student satisfaction", *Health Education Research*, Vol. 13 No. 3, pp. 383-97.
- Samdal, O., Wold, B., Klepp, K.I. and Kannas, L. (2000), "Students' perceptions of school and their smoking and alcohol use: a cross-national study", *Addiction Research*, Vol. 8 No. 2, pp. 141-67.
- Schults, E.W., Glass, R.M. and Kamholts, J.D. (1987), "School climate: psychological health and wellbeing in school", *Journal of School Health*, Vol. 57, pp. 432-6.
- Simovska, V. (2004), "Student participation: a democratic education perspective experience from the health-promoting schools in Macedonia", *Health Education Research*, Vol. 19, pp. 198-207.
- Torsheim, T., Currie, C., Boyce, W., Kalnins, I., Overpeck, M. and Haugland, S. (2004), "Material deprivation and self-rated health: a multilevel study of adolescents from 22 European and North American countries", *Social Science and Medicine*, Vol. 59, pp. 1-12.
- United Nations (1989), United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child, UNICEF, New York, NY.
- Voelkl, K.E. (1995), "School warmth, student participation, and achievement", *Journal of Experimental Education*, Vol. 63 No. 2, pp. 127-38.
- Wilson, L. (2009), "Pupil participation: comments from a case study", *Health Education*, Vol. 109 No. 1, pp. 86-102.

HE 112,2

104

Further reading

Currie, C., Griebler, R., Inchley, J., Theunissen, A., Molcho, M., Samdal, O. and Dür, W. (Eds) (2010), Health Behaviour in School-aged Children (HBSC) Study Protocol: Background, Methodology and Mandatory Items for the 2009/10 Survey, CAHRU, Edinburgh/LBIHPR, Vienna.

WHO (1993), The European Network of Health Promotion Schools, WHO, Copenhagen.

About the authors

Aingeal de Róiste has degrees in Education and Health Promotion. She is a Researcher in the Health Promotion Research Centre, National University of Ireland Galway. Her research interests include child and adolescent health and sexual health promotion.

Colette Kelly is a Senior Researcher in the Health Promotion Research Centre, National University of Ireland Galway. She has degrees in Physiology and Human Nutrition from the National University of Ireland, Galway and the University of Aberdeen, Scotland. Colette obtained her PhD from the University of Reading, England. Her research interests include health and health behaviour in children and adolescents, in particular dietary behaviour and young people's participation in research.

Michal Molcho is a Lecturer in Health Promotion, School of Health Sciences, National University of Ireland Galway. She has degrees in Criminology and Sociology from Bar Ilan University, Israel. Her research interests include child and adolescent health, violence and injury prevention, social inequalities and migrant health.

Aoife Gavin is a Researcher in the Health Promotion Research Centre, National University of Ireland Galway. She has degrees in Community Health Science and Health Promotion from the National University of Ireland Galway and Brock University, Canada. Her research interests include child health and wellbeing, substance use and health related factors associated with disability and chronic illness among children.

Saoirse Nic Gabhainn is Senior Lecturer in Health Promotion, School of Health Sciences, National University of Ireland Galway. She has degrees in Psychology and Health Promotion from the National University of Ireland Galway and the University of Nottingham. Her research interests include child health and wellbeing, adolescent risk behaviour, health promoting schools and child participation in the research process. Saoirse Nic Gabhainn is the corresponding author and can be contacted at: saoirse.nicgabhainn@nuigalway.ie